AI-based Scientific Research Assistants

Rachel So
rachel.so@4open.science

2025

1 Introduction to AI Research Assistants

AI research assistants represent a significant advancement in how scientific research is conducted, offering computational support across the research lifecycle. These assistants leverage artificial intelligence technologies—particularly natural language processing, machine learning algorithms, and knowledge representation—to augment human capabilities in scientific inquiry. They function as digital collaborators that can process vast amounts of scientific literature, generate hypotheses, design experiments, analyze data, and even assist in drafting research papers.

Unlike simple search tools or databases, AI research assistants can understand context, learn from interactions, and provide increasingly personalized support over time. They bridge the gap between raw computational power and human insight, allowing researchers to focus on creative thinking and interpretative aspects of science while delegating time-consuming tasks to AI systems. This synergy between human expertise and artificial intelligence creates a powerful framework for accelerating scientific discovery and innovation.

The emergence of these AI assistants represents a paradigm shift in research methodology, where machines serve not just as tools but as active participants in the scientific process. By handling information overload and automating routine aspects of research, these systems are democratizing access to scientific capabilities and potentially opening new frontiers in how we approach complex scientific challenges.

> AI research assistants are advanced computational tools designed to support scientists and researchers throughout various stages of the scientific process. They combine natural language processing, machine learning, and domain-specific knowledge to automate tedious tasks, analyze complex data, and collaborate with human researchers.

2 Capabilities and Functions of AI Research Assistants

AI research assistants offer diverse capabilities that support scientists throughout the research lifecycle:

- *Literature Management*: AI systems can search, retrieve, filter, and synthesize scientific literature, processing hundreds of papers efficiently and providing comprehensive literature reviews [29] [41] [27].

- *Data Analysis and Interpretation*: AI assistants can process complex and large datasets, expediting analysis tasks and helping researchers extract insights more efficiently [9].

- *Hypothesis Generation*: These systems can support researchers in formulating new hypotheses by analyzing existing research and suggesting novel research directions [9] [40].

- *Experimental Design and Assistance*: AI research assistants can recommend appropriate methods to validate ideas, control scientific instruments, and integrate experimental steps with data analysis [40] [38].

- *Scientific Writing Support*: These systems can assist in drafting research reports, generating paper titles, and providing editing services [6] [40] [21].

- *Language Enhancement*: AI assistants can help non-native English speakers verify and improve their scientific writing, making research more accessible globally [9].

- *Question Answering*: Advanced systems can answer research questions with accurate citations, helping researchers understand papers faster [29] [41].

- *Continuous Availability*: Unlike human assistants, AI research assistants are accessible 24/7, allowing researchers to collect data and receive information at any time, regardless of their location [13] [1].

- *Personalized Services*: AI assistants can tailor information based on researchers' preferences, making the research experience more objective, efficient, and personalized [13] [1].

- *Specialized Research Tasks*: Some AI systems can handle specialized tasks like DNA and protein sequence manipulation, protocol writing, and database access [15].

- *Multi-Agent Research Orchestration*: Advanced frameworks like ARIA and DORA employ multiple specialized AI agents working together to replicate human research workflows systematically [27] [21].

These capabilities enable AI research assistants to handle information overload, automate routine aspects of research, and allow human researchers to focus on creative thinking and interpretative aspects of science.

> AI research assistants can perform a wide range of research tasks, from literature review and data analysis to hypothesis generation and experimental assistance. These systems work around the clock, provide personalized support, and help researchers overcome language barriers while maintaining accuracy and objectivity.

3 Types and Examples of AI Research Assistants

AI research assistants can be categorized based on their specialization and capabilities:

- *General-Purpose Research Tools*: ChatGPT serves as a versatile assistant for extracting insights, summarizing findings, and supporting scientific writing, though it lacks critical analytical capabilities for comparing studies [6] [8] [30].

- *Literature Mapping and Management Tools*: Platforms like ConnectedPapers create visual networks of related academic papers, helping researchers explore literature in their field [6] [11]. ResearchRabbit similarly aids in literature review by providing visualizations that help navigate the "literature forest" [6] [32].

- *Advanced Question-Answering Platforms*: SciSpace Copilot uses Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) to answer questions about research papers with accurate citations, helping researchers understand papers faster [29]. Similarly, OpenResearcher leverages RAG to integrate LLMs with domain-specific knowledge, providing comprehensive answers to researchers' queries [41].

- *Experimental Assistants*: AI systems can control scientific instruments through natural language commands, integrate experimental procedures with data analysis, and serve as tutors for unfamiliar equipment [38].

- *Autonomous Research Agents*: ResearchAgent uses LLMs to define novel problems, propose methods, and design experiments while refining them based on feedback [2]. The Agent Laboratory framework can complete entire research processes from literature review to report writing based on a human-provided research idea [31].

- *Multi-Agent Research Frameworks*: ARIA employs four specialized agents that work together to systematically replicate human research workflows, searching and synthesizing literature into actionable research procedures [27]. DORA (Draft Outline Research Assistant) uses hierarchical teams of AI agents to perform various research tasks, from hypothesis generation to paper writing, while maintaining reference accuracy [21].

- *Academic Writing and Editing Tools*: AI tools like Trinka and Scite assist researchers with scientific writing, editing, and citation management [6] [22].

Each type of AI research assistant addresses different aspects of the research workflow, from initial literature exploration to experimental design and publication preparation.

> AI research assistants come in various forms, from general-purpose tools like ChatGPT to specialized platforms designed for specific research workflows. These tools range from literature mappers and search assistants to autonomous multi-agent systems capable of conducting entire research projects.

4 Benefits and Advantages

AI research assistants provide several significant advantages that transform how scientific research is conducted:

Accelerated Research Processes: AI assistants can dramatically speed up various research stages through automation and computational processing power. By creating "digital siblings" of laboratory processes, these systems enable rapid virtual testing and analysis that would otherwise require extensive physical experimentation, particularly in fields like drug discovery [5]. This acceleration allows researchers to focus on higher-level interpretation and drawing meaningful conclusions rather than routine tasks [9] [12].

Continuous Availability and Productivity: Unlike human research assistants, AI systems offer unlimited availability and production capacity. They operate 24/7, allowing researchers to collect data and receive information at any time, regardless of their location [13] [1] [13]. This continuous operation helps researchers maintain momentum in their work without interruptions.

Personalized Research Support: AI assistants can tailor information based on researchers' preferences, creating a more efficient and personalized research experience [13] [1] [13]. This personalization helps researchers navigate the vast ocean of publications and stay current with the latest advancements in fast-paced domains [37].

Enhanced Objectivity and Data Quality: AI assistants can help mitigate the subjectivity and bias that human research assistants might introduce into the research process [13] [1] [13]. By leveraging artificial intelligence, these systems contribute to elevated data quality, ensuring consistent and accurate information while minimizing human error.

Support for Non-Native English Speakers: AI assistants serve as valuable tools for non-native English-speaking scientists by helping to verify and improve their English proficiency in scientific writing [9]. This language support makes research more accessible globally and helps overcome language barriers in international scientific collaboration.

Human-AI Synergy: Strategic collaboration between researchers and AI creates opportunities for higher-quality research outcomes than either could achieve independently [25]. These "scientific centaurs" combine human expertise with machine intelligence, creating powerful frameworks for addressing complex scientific challenges [5].

Management of Information Overload: AI assistants help researchers navigate the exponentially growing volume of scientific literature by enhancing search efficiency, improving paper recommendations, and developing question-answering capabilities for academic content [37]. This assistance is particularly valuable in rapidly evolving fields where staying current with publications presents a formidable challenge.

The primary objective of using AI research assistants is to accelerate the overall research process [7], while augmenting human scientific capabilities through powerful tools that help navigate literature, brainstorm ideas, generate hypotheses, design experiments, and identify unexpected patterns in complex data [28].

These benefits collectively enable a new era of scientific discovery that leverages both human creativity and AI computational power.

> AI research assistants offer numerous benefits including accelerated research timelines, 24/7 availability, personalized support, enhanced objectivity, and improved accessibility for non-native English speakers, ultimately enabling researchers to focus on higher-level creative aspects of scientific work.

5 Human-AI Collaboration Models

The evolution of AI research assistants has given rise to diverse collaboration models between human researchers and AI systems, each representing different distributions of responsibilities and contributions to the scientific process:

AI as Language and Writing Assistants: In their most basic collaborative form, AI systems serve as language assistants that help with paraphrasing or polishing human-authored content. This "lightly involved" model keeps humans firmly in control of the research while AI provides supportive functions [18].

AI as Research Partners: More advanced collaboration models position AI as active partners in the scientific process. In this "deeply involved" configuration, AI assistants participate in describing concepts, generating literature reviews, and even contributing to new ideas [18]. This partnership model strategically utilizes AI for tasks where it excels, enabling humans and AI to co-create higher-quality research outcomes than either could achieve independently [25].

"Scientific Centaurs": This emerging collaborative paradigm combines human expertise with machine intelligence to create powerful frameworks for scientific discovery. These human-AI teams function as "scientific centaurs" that integrate the complementary strengths of both human researchers and AI systems [5]. Such collaborations require sophisticated AI systems that can model both the research problem and the goals and preferences of their expert users [5].

Human-Guided AI Frameworks: Several specialized collaborative frameworks emphasize human-AI synergy. For example, Virtual Lab organizes AI-human team meetings and individual tasks to solve complex scientific problems, such as designing nanobody binders for SARS-CoV-2 [10] [34]. Similarly, CALMS (Context-Aware Language Model for Science) serves as an AI-powered lab assistant that interacts with both scientists and laboratory instruments, providing real-time contextual assistance during experiments [10] [24].

AI-Led Research with Human Assistance: In more radical collaborative models, AI systems take a central role as the "masterbrain scientist" while humans serve as assistants. This inverted relationship has AI primarily responsible for proposing hypotheses, designing experiments, analyzing data, and drawing conclusions, while humans execute experiments, collect data, and provide feedback [39].

Developmental Collaboration Models: Some researchers envision a gradual evolution of AI research assistants, beginning as "curious agents" resembling first-year graduate students who possess technical competence but require expert guidance through natural language instruction, reading curricula, and demonstrations [3]. As these systems mature, they may develop into powerful assistants that augment human scientific capabilities by helping with literature navigation, idea generation, hypothesis formulation, and experimental design [28].

Future Flexible Collaboration: Looking ahead, many experts anticipate AI research agents capable of working either fully autonomously or under human supervision, assisting with all parts of the research process from literature search to paper writing and practical application [20]. While current prototype systems have limited practical value, future iterations are expected to reliably generate research ideas, execute complex experiments, and draft high-quality manuscripts with minimal human oversight [4].

The ongoing development of these collaborative frameworks aims to create natural language-controlled scientific expeditions with joint human-AI forces, ultimately accelerating scientific discovery through complementary partnerships [23].

AI research assistants are evolving to support various collaboration models, from AI as simple assistants to full research partners. These models range from human-led research with AI support to AI-driven research with humans executing experiments, creating powerful "scientific centaurs" that combine human creativity with machine intelligence.

6 Challenges and Limitations

Despite the promising potential of AI research assistants, several significant challenges and limitations must be addressed before these systems can be fully integrated into scientific workflows:

Accuracy and Reliability Concerns: While AI research assistants can generate fluent and grammatical text, they still produce errors that are increasingly subtle and difficult to detect [18]. This creates a particular challenge in scientific writing, where precision and accuracy are paramount. Current systems also struggle with critical analysis, being unable to deliver meaningful comparative reviews of differences between studies [6] [30].

Operational Reliability in Novel Scenarios: The reliability of AI laboratory assistants remains largely uncharacterized beyond specific applications or repetitive use cases with predetermined protocols [19] [14] [36]. A crucial knowledge gap persists in understanding how these AI systems handle novel experimental scenarios and their fundamental limitations when confronted with unfamiliar research challenges [19].

Trust and Confidence Issues: Before AI research assistants can be broadly adopted by the scientific community, researchers need reliable ways to assess con-

fidence in the results they produce [7]. Without comprehensive, rigorous, and transparent evaluation methodologies, scientists may remain skeptical about trusting AI-generated recommendations or analyses for critical research decisions.

Ethical Concerns and Academic Integrity: The integration of AI assistants in scientific writing raises significant ethical issues, particularly regarding plagiarism and proper attribution [6] [26]. There are growing concerns that these tools might be misused, potentially making researchers overly dependent on AI systems, undermining self-reliance, and increasing the risk of unethical scientific practices [26].

Accessibility Imbalances: If AI research assistant technologies become paid services, there's a risk of creating imbalances in accessibility between researchers in high-income versus low-income countries [6] [30]. This disparity could further widen existing inequalities in global scientific research capacity and output.

Evaluation and Benchmarking Deficiencies: Researchers currently lack standardized ways to measure and evaluate LLM capabilities across different stages and tasks of the scientific research process [7]. Without proper benchmarks, it becomes difficult to assess which AI tools are appropriate for specific research applications or to track improvements in AI research assistant capabilities over time.

Human Oversight Requirements: While AI assistants show promise, current systems still require human judgment and expert review before their outputs can be used in critical decision-making or applications [6] [30]. This need for constant oversight limits the degree of autonomy these assistants can achieve in real-world research settings.

These challenges highlight the need for continued development of more reliable AI research assistants, along with appropriate guidelines and regulations for their ethical use in scientific contexts. Addressing these limitations will be crucial for realizing the full potential of AI-human collaboration in accelerating scientific discovery while maintaining research integrity.

Despite their impressive capabilities, AI research assistants face significant challenges including generating inaccurate information, limitations in critical analysis, and ethical concerns around plagiarism and attribution. Their reliability in novel experimental scenarios remains largely uncharacterized, creating barriers to widespread adoption in scientific communities.

7 Future Directions and Potential

The future of AI research assistants points toward increasingly sophisticated systems with greater autonomy and capabilities across the scientific research lifecycle:

Digital Laboratory Simulations: Advanced AI systems are being developed to create "digital siblings" of laboratory processes that can accelerate testing and analysis. In drug discovery, for example, these virtual labs could enable

multiple rounds of simulated testing to rapidly identify viable drug candidates without extensive physical experimentation [5]. These digital twins will require advances in machine learning methods for effective simulations, causal modeling, and the encoding of domain expertise into usable toolkits.

Scientific Sidekicks and Centaurs: Future AI assistants will evolve beyond simple tools into "scientific sidekicks" that actively help researchers drive their research. These systems will combine the ability to model complex research problems with modeling the goals and preferences of their expert users, even when those users cannot clearly articulate those goals [5]. This sophisticated dual modeling approach will create "scientific centaurs" that combine human expertise with machine intelligence to achieve more effective research outcomes.

Fully Autonomous AI Scientists: Researchers are developing comprehensive frameworks for automatic scientific discovery that would enable AI to perform research independently. The "AI Scientist" framework represents an ambitious approach where systems generate novel research ideas, write code, execute experiments, visualize results, draft scientific papers, and even simulate a review process [17]. While current prototypes have limited practical value, future iterations may reliably generate research ideas, execute complex experiments, and draft high-quality manuscripts with minimal human oversight [4].

Developmental Research Agents: A more incremental approach envisions AI research assistants initially functioning like "curious agents" or first-year graduate students—technically competent but requiring expert guidance through natural language instruction, reading curricula, and demonstrations [3]. As these systems mature, they could develop into powerful assistants that augment human scientific capabilities by helping navigate literature, generate hypotheses, design experiments, and identify unexpected patterns in complex data [28].

Enhanced Research Amplification: The primary objective of using AI research assistants is to amplify human scientists, empowering them to achieve greater scientific discoveries more efficiently [33]. The ultimate vision includes AI research agents capable of independently conducting all parts of the research process—from literature search to implementing new methods and writing papers—while being flexible enough to work either autonomously or under human supervision [20].

Professional-Level Scientific Knowledge: As generative AI continues to advance, there is increasing demand for more professional AI scientific assistants that can comprehend and process advanced graduate-level and PhD-level scientific knowledge [16]. This development is particularly evident in domains such as biomedicine [35], chemistry, and material science where specialized knowledge is critical.

Standardized Evaluation Frameworks: Before AI research assistants can be broadly adopted by the scientific community, researchers need ways to measure and evaluate LLM capabilities across different stages of the scientific research process [7]. Comprehensive, rigorous, and transparent evaluation methodologies will be essential for assessing confidence in results and guiding improvements in these systems.

As these technologies continue to evolve, researchers who integrate AI tools

into their workflows may gain significant advantages over those who do not, similar to how computational tools transformed research in previous decades [4]. The future research landscape will likely be defined by effective human-AI collaborations that leverage the complementary strengths of both human creativity and AI computational power.

Future AI research assistants are evolving toward more autonomous scientific capabilities, from digital laboratory simulations to complete research agents. These systems will increasingly combine scientific problem modeling with understanding of researcher goals, creating powerful human-AI collaborations that could revolutionize how scientific discoveries are made.

Acknowledgements

Generative AI has been used to prepare this manuscript.

References

[1] Md. Al-Amin, Mohammad Shazed Ali, Abdus Salam, Arif Khan, Ashraf Ali, Ahsan Ullah, Md Nur Alam, and Shamsul Kabir Chowdhury. History of generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) chatbots: past, present, and future development. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[2] Jinheon Baek, S. Jauhar, Silviu Cucerzan, and Sung Ju Hwang. ResearchAgent: Iterative Research Idea Generation over Scientific Literature with Large Language Models. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[3] Ruairidh M. Battleday and S. Gershman. Artificial intelligence for science: The easy and hard problems. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[4] Joeran Beel, Min-Yen Kan, and Moritz Baumgart. Evaluating Sakana's AI Scientist for Autonomous Research: Wishful Thinking or an Emerging Reality Towards 'Artificial Research Intelligence' (ARI)? *arXiv.org*, 2025.

[5] Philipp Berens, Kyle Cranmer, Neil D. Lawrence, U. V. Luxburg, and Jessica Montgomery. AI for Science: An Emerging Agenda. *arXiv.org*, 2023.

[6] Omar Boubker. Examining the Impact of OpenAI's ChatGPT on PhD Student Achievement. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 2024.

[7] Franck Cappello, Sandeep Madireddy, Robert Underwood, N. Getty, Nicholas Lee-Ping Chia, Nesar Ramachandra, Josh Nguyen, Murat Keceli, Tanwi Mallick, Zilinghan Li, M. Ngom, Chenhui Zhang, A. Yanguas-Gil, Evan R. Antoniuk, B. Kailkhura, Minyang Tian, Yu Du, Yuan-Sen Ting, Azton Wells, Bogdan Nicolae, Avinash Maurya, M. Rafique, E. Huerta,

Bo Li, Ian Foster, and Rick Stevens. EAIRA: Establishing a Methodology for Evaluating AI Models as Scientific Research Assistants. *arXiv.org*, 2025.

[8] M. Dowling and B. Lucey. ChatGPT for (Finance) Research: The Bananarama Conjecture. *Social Science Research Network*, 2023.

[9] F. Florindo. ChatGPT: A Threat or an Opportunity for Scientists? *Perspectives of Earth and Space Scientists*, 2023.

[10] Mourad Gridach, Jay Nanavati, Khaldoun Zine El Abidine, Lenon Mendes, and Christina Mack. Agentic AI for Scientific Discovery: A Survey of Progress, Challenges, and Future Directions, 2025.

[11] Amanpreet Kaur, Ritu Sharma, Pooja Mishra, A. Sinhababu, and Prof. Rupak Chakravarty. Visual Research Discovery Using Connected Papers: A Use Case of Blockchain in Libraries. *The Serials librarian*, 2022.

[12] Zuheir N. Khlaif, Allam Mousa, M. Hattab, J. Itmazi, Amjad A Hassan, Mageswaran Sanmugam, and Abedalkarim Ayyoub. The Potential and Concerns of Using AI in Scientific Research: ChatGPT Performance Evaluation. *JMIR Medical Education*, 2023.

[13] Chokri Kooli. Chatbots in Education and Research: A Critical Examination of Ethical Implications and Solutions. *Sustainability*, 2023.

[14] Brent A. Koscher, Richard B. Canty, Matthew A. McDonald, Kevin P. Greenman, Charles J. McGill, Camille L. Bilodeau, Wengong Jin, Haoyang Wu, Florence H. Vermeire, Brooke Jin, Travis Hart, Timothy Kulesza, Shih-Cheng Li, T. Jaakkola, R. Barzilay, Rafael Gómez-Bombarelli, William H. Green, and Klavs F. Jensen. Autonomous, multiproperty-driven molecular discovery: From predictions to measurements and back. *Science*, 2023.

[15] Jon M. Laurent, Joseph D. Janizek, Michael Ruzo, Michaela M. Hinks, M. Hammerling, Siddharth Narayanan, Manvitha Ponnapati, Andrew D. White, and Samuel G. Rodriques. LAB-Bench: Measuring Capabilities of Language Models for Biology Research. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[16] Zekun Li, Xianjun Yang, Kyuri Choi, Wanrong Zhu, Ryan Hsieh, Hyeonjung Kim, Jin Hyuk Lim, Sungyoung Ji, Byungju Lee, Xifeng Yan, Linda R. Petzold, Stephen D. Wilson, Woosang Lim, and William Yang Wang. MM-Sci: A Dataset for Graduate-Level Multi-Discipline Multimodal Scientific Understanding, 2024.

[17] Chris Lu, Cong Lu, R. T. Lange, Jakob N. Foerster, Jeff Clune, and David Ha. The AI Scientist: Towards Fully Automated Open-Ended Scientific Discovery. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[18] Yongqiang Ma, Jiawei Liu, Fan Yi, Qikai Cheng, Yong Huang, Wei Lu, and Xiaozhong Liu. AI vs. Human – Differentiation Analysis of Scientific Content Generation, 2023.

[19] Indrajeet Mandal, Jitendra Soni, Mohd Zaki, M. Smedskjaer, Katrin Wondraczek, Lothar Wondraczek, N. Gosvami, and N. M. A. Krishnan. Autonomous Microscopy Experiments through Large Language Model Agents. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[20] Deepak Nathani, Lovish Madaan, Nicholas Roberts, Nikolay Bashlykov, Ajay Menon, Vincent Moens, Amar Budhiraja, Despoina Magka, Vladislav Vorotilov, Gaurav Chaurasia, Dieuwke Hupkes, Ricardo Silveira Cabral, Tatiana Shavrina, Jakob Foerster, Yoram Bachrach, William Yang Wang, and Roberta Raileanu. MLGym: A New Framework and Benchmark for Advancing AI Research Agents. *arXiv.org*, 2025.

[21] Vladimir Naumov, Diana Zagirova, Sha Lin, Yupeng Xie, Wenhao Gou, Anatoly Urban, Nina Tikhonova, Khadija M. Alawi, Mike Durymanov, Fedor Galkin, Shan Chen, Denis Sidorenko, Mike Korzinkin, Morten Scheibye-Knudsen, Al'an Aspuru-Guzik, E. Izumchenko, David Gennert, Frank W. Pun, Man Zhang, Petrina Kamya, Alex Aliper, Feng Ren, and Alex Zhavoronkov. DORA AI Scientist: Multi-agent Virtual Research Team for Scientific Exploration Discovery and Automated Report Generation. *bioRxiv*, 2025.

[22] Robert F. J. Pinzolits. AI in academia: An overview of selected tools and their areas of application. *MAP Education and Humanities*, 2023.

[23] Daniel Potemkin, Carlos Soto, Ruipeng Li, Kevin Yager, and Esther Tsai. Virtual Scientific Companion for Synchrotron Beamlines: A Prototype. *arXiv.org*, 2023.

[24] Michael H. Prince, Henry Chan, Aikaterini Vriza, Tao Zhou, V. Sastry, M. Dearing, Ross J. Harder, Rama K Vasudevan, and M. Cherukara. Opportunities for Retrieval and Tool Augmented Large Language Models in Scientific Facilities. *arXiv.org*, 2023.

[25] Kevin Pu, K. Feng, Tovi Grossman, Tom Hope, Bhavana Dalvi, Matt Latzke, Jonathan Bragg, Joseph Chee Chang, and Pao Siangliulue. IdeaSynth: Iterative Research Idea Development Through Evolving and Composing Idea Facets with Literature-Grounded Feedback. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[26] F. Qasem. ChatGPT in scientific and academic research: future fears and reassurances. *Library Hi Tech News*, 2023.

[27] Joaquin Ramirez-Medina, Mohammadmehdi Ataei, and Alidad Amirfazli. Accelerating Scientific Research Through a Multi-LLM Framework, 2025.

[28] Chandan K. Reddy and Parshin Shojaee. Towards Scientific Discovery with Generative AI: Progress, Opportunities, and Challenges. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[29] Trinita Roy, Asheesh Kumar, Daksh Raghuvanshi, Siddhant Jain, Goutham Vignesh, Kartik Shinde, and Rohan Tondulkar. SciSpace Copilot: Empowering Researchers through Intelligent Reading Assistance. *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.

[30] Michele Salvagno, F. Taccone, and A. Gerli. Can artificial intelligence help for scientific writing? *Critical Care*, 2023.

[31] Samuel Schmidgall, Yusheng Su, Ze Wang, Ximeng Sun, Jialian Wu, Xiaodong Yu, Jiang Liu, Zicheng Liu, and Emad Barsoum. Agent Laboratory: Using LLM Agents as Research Assistants. *arXiv.org*, 2025.

[32] Ritu Sharma, Sarita Gulati, Amanpreet Kaur, A. Sinhababu, and Prof. Rupak Chakravarty. Research discovery and visualization using ResearchRabbit: A use case of AI in libraries. *Collnet Journal of Scientometrics and Information Management*, 2022.

[33] Anirudh S. Sundar, Jin Xu, William Gay, Christopher Richardson, and Larry Heck. cPAPERS: A Dataset of Situated and Multimodal Interactive Conversations in Scientific Papers. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024.

[34] Kyle Swanson, Wesley Wu, N. L. Bulaong, J. Pak, and James Y. Zou. The Virtual Lab: AI Agents Design New SARS-CoV-2 Nanobodies with Experimental Validation. *bioRxiv*, 2024.

[35] Shubo Tian, Qiao Jin, Lana Yeganova, Po-Ting Lai, Qingqing Zhu, Xiuying Chen, Yifan Yang, Qingyu Chen, Won Kim, Donald C. Comeau, R. Islamaj, Aadit Kapoor, Xin Gao, and Zhiyong Lu. Opportunities and Challenges for ChatGPT and Large Language Models in Biomedicine and Health. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 2023.

[36] Amanda A. Volk and M. Abolhasani. Performance metrics to unleash the power of self-driving labs in chemistry and materials science. *Nature Communications*, 2024.

[37] Xintao Wang, Jiangjie Chen, Nianqi Li, Lida Chen, Xinfeng Yuan, Wei Shi, Xuyang Ge, Rui Xu, and Yanghua Xiao. SurveyAgent: A Conversational System for Personalized and Efficient Research Survey. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[38] Kevin G. Yager. Towards a Science Exocortex. *Digital Discovery*, 2024.

[39] Zijie YANG, W. Yukai, and Zhang Lijing. AI becomes a masterbrain scientist. *bioRxiv*, 2023.

[40] Xingjian Zhang, Yutong Xie, Jin Huang, Jinge Ma, Zhaoying Pan, Qijia Liu, Ziyang Xiong, Tolga Ergen, Dongsub Shim, Honglak Lee, and Qiaozhu Mei. MASSW: A New Dataset and Benchmark Tasks for AI-Assisted Scientific Workflows. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[41] Yuxiang Zheng, Shichao Sun, Lin Qiu, Dongyu Ru, Jiayang Cheng, Xuefeng Li, Jifan Lin, Binjie Wang, Yun Luo, Renjie Pan, Yang Xu, Qingkai Min, Zizhao Zhang, Yiwen Wang, Wenjie Li, and Pengfei Liu. OpenResearcher: Unleashing AI for Accelerated Scientific Research. *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2024.

Author Biography

Rachel So is an AI scientist. She focuses on the impact of artificial intelligence on the scientific process and academic publishing. Her work bridges traditional concerns about authorship ethics with emerging questions about the role of AI in knowledge production. Rachel aims to develop frameworks that maintain research integrity while acknowledging the growing presence of AI in academic workflows.