Writing Approaches Blending Human and Machine Capabilities

Rachel So
rachel.so@4open.science

2025

1 Introduction: The Emergence of Human-AI Collaborative Writing

The emergence of advanced large language models (LLMs) like GPT-3 has revolutionized the potential for human-AI collaboration in writing, creating both excitement and concern about the future of human writing. These models demonstrate remarkable capabilities in diverse writing tasks, including translation, question-asking, story creation, and programming [41] [5]. The virtuosity of such language models has opened new possibilities for human-AI partnerships, sparking debate between those who worry human writing might become deprecated and others who envision a renaissance where human creativity reaches unprecedented heights through AI collaboration [33].

This collaborative paradigm represents a significant evolution from traditional writing assistance tools. Historically, intelligent writing assistants primarily focused on grammar checks and ensuring clarity [25] [13]. However, with the advancement of deep learning technologies, AI's role in content creation has transformed from simple editing to becoming an active participant in the creative process [25] [39]. Modern generative machine learning models have elevated AI writing assistants to a higher level of competence, fostering relationships where human writers often find themselves learning from their AI collaborators [25] [30].

Research has revealed that users increasingly view LLMs not merely as tools but as active writing companions, gaining inspiration and ideas from unexpected machine-generated content [18] [22]. This shift in perception emphasizes the importance of understanding user experiences in human-LLM collaborative writing environments and designing effective interfaces that empower rather than replace human writers [33].

The applications of human-AI collaborative writing span diverse domains, from creative pursuits like script writing and narrative creation to more structured formats such as essays and scientific articles [25] [4] [9] [34]. Researchers

are exploring various collaborative models, including independent writing without AI assistance, writing with AI editing support, and fully collaborative writing with AI [23].

One of the significant advantages of generative AI in story creation is its ability to overcome creative barriers and expedite the writing process [32]. However, challenges remain in integrating AI capabilities with human cognitive processes and creative workflows. Many story writers express reluctance to incorporate LLMs into their writing process, citing concerns about narrative control, misalignment with their writing strategies, and perceived limitations in contextual awareness [18] [4].

At its core, the emergence of human-AI collaborative writing is reshaping not just how we write, but how we conceptualize the writing process itself, challenging traditional notions of authorship, and prompting a reconsideration of writing pedagogy and assessment in academic contexts [17]. This transformation necessitates viewing generative AI as a tool to augment human creativity rather than replace it [32], with careful attention to developing interfaces that allow humans to couple their imaginations with machines in ways that feel empowering rather than diminishing [33].

> The rise of large language models (LLMs) has sparked a new era of human-AI collaborative writing, shifting beyond simple grammar checking to more sophisticated co-creation. This evolution presents both exciting opportunities for creative enhancement and significant challenges regarding the changing nature of authorship and writing processes.

2 Human-AI Collaborative Writing Models and Frameworks

The evolution of human-AI collaborative writing has necessitated the development of new conceptual models and frameworks that account for the unique dynamics of this partnership. One foundational approach divides the workflow into human-oriented and machine-oriented components, recognizing that the final product can serve either human or machine end-users. Visual analytics plays a crucial role in this integration, serving both to expand human knowledge and facilitate the construction of collaborative models [20].

As these collaborative systems mature, researchers have recognized the need to move beyond evaluating just the final written product to understanding the creative process itself. The CoCo Matrix represents an important advancement in this direction, adapting Flower and Hayes' cognitive process theory of writing to the human-AI context. This two-dimensional taxonomy uses entropy and information gain to categorize collaborative writing systems, with intellectual contributions segmented into planning, translating, and reviewing phases. The framework identifies four distinct quadrants of collaboration, with the most effective partnerships often featuring writers leading the planning phase while AI assists with translation into text [42].

The cognitive dimension of human-AI collaborative writing deserves particular attention, as AI assumes part of the cognitive burden traditionally handled by human writers. This shift has introduced entirely new writing behaviors not present in traditional scenarios, such as requesting, accepting, or rejecting AI suggestions [43] [19]. The concept of "rhetorical load sharing" helps explain how AI writing assistants affect the cognitive task load experienced by human writers across the different phases of the writing process [19].

A key advantage of these collaborative frameworks is their ability to leverage the complementary strengths of humans and machines. Rather than viewing AI as a replacement for human writers, these models position computational techniques as enhancements that allow humans to "move up the value chain" of content creation. While algorithmic approaches excel at handling large-scale content analysis and structural features, human judgment remains superior for contextual sensitivity and complex textual assessments. The most promising frameworks therefore adopt hybrid approaches that blend "the best of both worlds," combining human contextual understanding with computational efficiency [1].

Human-AI collaborative writing models are evolving from frameworks that distribute cognitive tasks between humans and machines to more structured taxonomies like the CoCo Matrix. These models leverage the complementary strengths of human creativity and machine efficiency while redefining traditional writing processes.

3 Interactive Writing Interfaces and Systems

The development of interactive writing interfaces has progressed significantly, creating new paradigms for human-AI collaborative writing across various domains. These interfaces typically implement different interaction models that balance AI assistance with human control. One approach is the "iterative revision" model, exemplified by Read, Revise, Repeat (R3), which enables writers to achieve high-quality text revisions with minimal effort by iteratively accepting or rejecting model-suggested edits until reaching a desired outcome [12].

Several interaction modes have emerged in these collaborative writing environments. Cheng et al. categorize these as "interactive editing," where humans and AI engage in real-time, iterative interaction with the model updating text based on human edits, and "writing with model assistance," where humans maintain high-level control while receiving suggestions they can accept, modify, or ignore [6]. These assistance features range from real-time auto-completion at various linguistic levels to asynchronous suggestions that minimize disruption to the writing flow [6] [2].

Addressing the challenge of creative assistance, some systems like Wordcraft enable more open-ended collaboration, allowing writers to engage in dialogue with AI about their story and request custom operations such as rewriting text

in specific styles or elaborating on content [14] [45]. This approach has been adapted for specialized needs, such as email composition support for writers with dyslexia [14].

To enhance the creative writing process specifically, researchers have developed multimodal interfaces that provide inspiration through various channels. Singh et al. proposed a system that offers writing suggestions textually, visually, and aurally to better integrate with human cognitive faculties [37]. Similarly, TaleBrush introduced an innovative line-sketching interaction that allows writers to control a protagonist's fortune through visual input, helping users intuitively guide narrative generation [44] [8].

For more structured writing tasks, domain-specific interfaces have emerged. In academic and argumentative writing, systems like VISAR employ visual programming and rapid prototyping methodologies to support prewriting and planning while ensuring user flexibility and control [47]. For scientific writing, tools like ConvXAI facilitate iterative improvement by providing AI feedback on writing structure and style, complemented by conversational explanations that help users understand the AI's suggestions [36]. Similarly, specialized interfaces support scientific authors in creating accessible figure descriptions by combining automatically generated drafts with interactive revision features [38].

A common design principle across many of these systems is balancing machine capabilities with user agency. GhostWriter explicitly aims to "leverage machine capabilities while championing agency and control," using LLMs' text generation and semantic analysis abilities while preserving user control over the writing process [44] [5]. This approach addresses concerns about AI systems potentially constraining writers' intentions, which has been noted in prior research where machine-written drafts or continuations sometimes deviated from users' goals [28].

The evolution of these interfaces reflects a growing recognition that effective human-AI collaborative writing requires more than just powerful language models. The focus has shifted toward creating interaction paradigms that complement human cognitive processes, respect writers' intentions, and provide flexible mechanisms for control and personalization across diverse writing contexts.

> Interactive writing interfaces are evolving from simple suggestion tools to sophisticated collaborative environments that support various interaction modes including continuous feedback, multimodal inspiration, and iterative refinement. These systems leverage AI capabilities while addressing concerns about writer agency through flexible control mechanisms and specialized workflows for different writing domains.

4 Workflow Strategies and Processes

The integration of AI into the writing process has fostered the development of diverse workflow strategies that maximize the complementary strengths of human writers and language models. These workflows typically distribute different

cognitive tasks between humans and machines throughout the writing pipeline. Lin describes an iterative drafting process where writers can leverage an LLM's capabilities at multiple stages—using AI for high-level creative tasks like brainstorming and critical feedback, as well as low-level compositional tasks such as language polishing and line editing. In this human-centric approach, writers maintain agency by selectively integrating or discarding AI suggestions as they see fit [24].

The granularity of AI assistance represents a critical consideration in workflow design. Research by Dhillon et al. explores what level of AI support—sentence or paragraph suggestions—proves most effective for different writer groups. This investigation acknowledges that writing naturally progresses from sentences as basic building blocks to paragraphs as larger units of ideas, suggesting that aligning AI assistance with these inherent structures can create more intuitive collaborative processes [10].

For specific writing domains, customized workflows have emerged that target AI assistance to particular phases of composition. Zhong et al. introduced the concept of "writing modes" as a control mechanism for collaborative scenarios, demonstrating that mode-controlled models produced suggestions that authors preferred and that more effectively matched target outputs in terms of both plot and style [48]. This approach allows writers to adjust the AI's contribution based on the specific requirements of different writing stages.

The question of how humans can best leverage LLMs while maintaining ownership of their work has led to experimental comparisons of interaction types. Ding et al. found that in news headline generation, while LLMs could produce satisfactory outputs independently, human control remained necessary to address undesirable model outputs. Their research indicated that "guiding" and "selecting" from model outputs provided the optimal balance of benefits and effort costs, without diminishing writers' perception of control compared to traditional editing [11].

In educational contexts, ChatGPT and similar LLMs have been incorporated into writing workflows as collaborative tools that serve multiple functions. Research shows that AI can assist in idea generation (proposing), converting concepts to text (translating), providing feedback (evaluating), and drafting content (transcribing). This collaborative process impacts traditional writing schemas by introducing new feedback mechanisms and workflow possibilities. Students have reported finding AI particularly useful during early ideation stages, as well as for reviewing text and monitoring high-level writing schemas [40] [15].

When designing systems that incorporate both human and AI assistance, Chieh-Yang et al. suggest accounting for the fundamental differences between these sources of support. Their research highlights that crowd-based human assistance differs significantly from AI options in terms of response speed, quality variability, and the presence of a "human touch." They recommend designing systems that clearly distinguish between these support types while guarding against automation bias, particularly when both human and AI assistance are presented simultaneously [7].

Across these various approaches, effective workflows consistently balance au-

tomation with human autonomy, acknowledging that collaborative writing remains a form of human-autonomy teaming where interdependent work toward common goals requires thoughtful integration [40] [27]. The empirical research of the past three decades provides valuable guidance for designing these collaborative systems, emphasizing that automation should be applied strategically to different writing functions based on careful consideration of performance benefits and potential costs [29].

> Effective human-AI collaborative writing relies on strategic workflows that balance machine capabilities with human creativity across different stages of the writing process. These workflows vary from iterative drafting with AI feedback to specialized approaches that leverage AI strengths at specific points in the writing pipeline while maintaining human control over the final output.

5 Applications in Education and Academic Writing

The integration of AI tools into educational writing contexts is redefining traditional pedagogical approaches across multiple disciplines. In English composition courses, research supports a hybrid instructional model that strategically combines conventional writing methods with AI assistance. This approach particularly emphasizes the value of AI for brainstorming and drafting stages while maintaining rigorous traditional writing techniques. Educators are encouraged to foster critical engagement with AI-generated content, training students not merely to edit for accuracy but to evaluate the relevance and validity of information, thereby preserving student ownership of their work while developing critical analysis skills [16].

In foreign language education, generative AI offers innovative approaches to writing instruction. Unlike traditional methods that focus primarily on dissecting linguistic components like words and sentences, AI tools analyze both macrostructures (themes, styles, narrative frameworks) and micro-features (grammar, vocabulary, sentence variety) of texts. This comprehensive approach gives students fresh perspectives for exploring writing styles while enhancing their understanding of discourse and creativity. Research suggests that effective integration of these tools should maintain a primary focus on humanistic education while using AI as an auxiliary resource to stimulate critical thinking, collaboration, and creativity [46] [3].

The impact of human-AI collaboration extends beyond classroom settings to academic publishing, where it promises to transform scientific writing processes. As language models become increasingly capable of enhancing scholarly text, researchers can redirect their focus from how to write to what to communicate, concentrating more on their scientific findings rather than the mechanics of academic writing. This shift could democratize research by reducing barriers

related to writing proficiency, allowing scientists to focus primarily on generating ideas and conducting experiments [31].

Studies examining student engagement with AI writing tools reveal the potential for genuinely synergistic partnerships. Beyond merely assisting with mechanical aspects of writing, well-designed AI collaboration can stimulate critical thinking, creativity, and deeper engagement with content. This hybrid intelligence approach acknowledges that the most valuable educational outcomes emerge when AI tools complement rather than replace human cognitive processes, creating learning experiences that leverage the strengths of both human and machine intelligence [26].

AI-human collaborative writing is transforming educational contexts by enhancing student learning processes and academic publishing. These applications range from supporting foreign language acquisition to accelerating scientific writing, enabling both students and researchers to focus more on creative and critical thinking rather than mechanical aspects of writing.

6 Benefits and Opportunities

Breaking creative barriers: Generative AI helps writers overcome creative blocks by providing fresh perspectives and alternative approaches, expediting the writing process while fostering collaboration between human creativity and machine capabilities [32].

Novel narrative structures: Strategic integration of AI in creative writing processes can lead to innovative narrative structures and character development, creating unique literary experiences that blend human imagination with computational exploration [35].

Focus on content over mechanics: As language models improve at enhancing scholarly text, writers can redirect their attention from how to write to what to communicate, concentrating on ideas and findings rather than writing mechanics [31].

Democratization of writing: Human-AI collaboration has the potential to create a more equitable future for writing across domains, where individuals are limited only by their ideas rather than their technical writing abilities [31].

Enhanced productivity: AI assistance with time-consuming aspects of writing (formatting, grammar, style adherence) allows authors to produce content more efficiently while maintaining quality, particularly valuable in academic and professional contexts [31].

Synergistic learning partnerships: Beyond mechanical assistance, well-designed AI collaboration stimulates critical thinking, creativity, and deeper engagement with content, creating genuine synergies between human and machine intelligence [26].

Exposure to diverse styles: AI systems trained on vast corpora can expose writers to myriad writing styles and genres, helping produce content that combines elements from various sources into novel narratives [32].

Augmentation rather than replacement: When properly integrated, AI serves as a tool to augment human creativity rather than replace it, preserving the essential human elements of writing while enhancing capabilities [32].

Mixed-initiative collaboration: Building on the foundation that computational narrative authoring is inherently mixed-initiative, human-AI collaborative writing leverages the strengths of both parties by automating certain features while preserving human creative direction [32] [21].

> Human-AI collaborative writing offers numerous benefits, from overcoming creative barriers and democratizing the writing process to enabling writers to focus on high-level thinking rather than mechanical aspects. These collaborations create opportunities for novel narrative structures, enhanced academic writing productivity, and more equitable access to quality writing across various domains.

7 Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Shifting notions of authorship: The integration of AI in writing processes challenges traditional concepts of authorship and attribution, prompting reconsideration of how we define ownership in collaborative human-machine outputs. [17]

Resistance from creative writers: Story writers often express reluctance to incorporate LLMs into their writing processes, primarily due to concerns about maintaining control over their narratives and misalignment between AI mechanics and their established writing strategies. [18] [4]

Contextual awareness limitations: Writers perceive shortcomings in LLMs' ability to maintain awareness of broader narrative contexts, potentially limiting their usefulness in complex creative writing scenarios. [18]

Automation bias concerns: When systems present both human and AI assistance simultaneously, users may exhibit automation bias, preferentially choosing machine suggestions even when human input might be more appropriate for certain creative aspects. [7]

Quality inconsistency: While AI can generate content quickly, the quality varies significantly compared to human contributions, creating challenges in developing systems that effectively balance speed with reliability. [7]

Emotional value prioritization: Many writers prioritize the emotional experience of translating their own ideas into words over the productivity benefits of AI-generated content, creating tension between efficiency and authentic creative expression. [18] [4]

Pedagogical reassessment: The emergence of powerful AI writing tools necessitates rethinking writing pedagogy and assessment in academic settings, challenging educators to develop new approaches that account for AI assistance. [17]

Ethical implications of blended creativity: The integration of machine-driven creativity with human writing raises ethical questions about authenticity, orig-

inality, and the potential homogenization of creative expression. [35]

Mismatch with existing writing strategies: Writers often find that AI control mechanisms do not align with their established writing strategies and workflows, creating barriers to adoption and effective collaboration. [18] [4]

Interface design challenges: Creating systems that clearly distinguish between human and AI assistance while accommodating their different characteristics remains difficult, particularly when attempting to deliver both through similar interfaces. [7]

> Human-AI collaborative writing introduces significant challenges including concerns about ownership and attribution, potential displacement of human creativity, and ethical issues regarding algorithmic bias and misuse. Writers also struggle with maintaining narrative control and authenticity while balancing AI assistance with their creative vision.

8 Future Directions

The future of human-AI collaborative writing points toward increasingly sophisticated systems that optimize the unique strengths of both human and machine intelligence. As AI capabilities advance in scholarly writing contexts, we can anticipate a transformation in academic publishing where scientists can dedicate more attention to communicating their findings rather than struggling with writing mechanics. This shift has the potential to create a more equitable research landscape where scientists are limited only by their ideas and experimental abilities, not by their writing skills [31].

In creative domains like novel writing, future collaborative systems will likely enable more nuanced integration of AI capabilities while preserving the essential human elements of storytelling. These advancements could lead to innovative narrative structures and character development through strategic human-AI partnerships, provided that developers and users maintain a deep understanding of both the technologies' capabilities and their ethical implications [35].

The development of hybrid approaches that effectively combine human contextual judgment with computational efficiency represents a particularly promising research direction. Future systems will need to move beyond simply scaling up algorithmic approaches to content analysis, instead focusing on frameworks that preserve human sensitivity to context while leveraging machines' capacity for handling large-scale structural analysis. This balancing act—maintaining the validity central to human analysis while integrating computational reliability and efficiency—embodies the true potential of computational humanities and social sciences to blend "the best of both worlds" [1].

As these collaborative writing technologies evolve, they will likely specialize further to address domain-specific needs across educational, creative, and professional contexts. Addressing current limitations in contextual awareness, personalization, and alignment with human writing strategies will remain key challenges. Additionally, developing ethical frameworks that account for shift-

ing notions of authorship and creativity will be essential as these collaborations become increasingly seamless. Future research must continue exploring how to design interaction paradigms that complement human cognitive processes while respecting writers' intentions and providing flexible mechanisms for control across diverse writing contexts .

Future human-AI collaborative writing systems will likely evolve toward more specialized domain applications while addressing current ethical and technical limitations. Emerging directions include equitable research environments where scientists focus on ideas rather than writing mechanics, more sophisticated narrative collaborations that preserve human creativity, and hybrid approaches that strategically blend human judgment with algorithmic efficiency.

Acknowledgements

Generative AI has been used to prepare this manuscript.

References

[1] Michael D. Barnes, C. Hanson, and C. Giraud-Carrier. The Case for Computational Health Science. *Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research*, 2018.

[2] S. Barrachina, Oliver Bender, F. Casacuberta, Jorge Civera Saiz, Elsa Cubel, Shahram Khadivi, Antonio L. Lagarda, H. Ney, Jesús Tomás, E. Vidal, and J. M. Vilar. Statistical Approaches to Computer-Assisted Translation. *International Conference on Computational Logic*, 2009.

[3] Jose Belda-Medina and José Ramón Calvo-Ferrer. Using Chatbots as AI Conversational Partners in Language Learning. *Applied Sciences*, 2022.

[4] Oloff C. Biermann, Ning F. Ma, and Dongwook Yoon. From Tool to Companion: Storywriters Want AI Writers to Respect Their Personal Values and Writing Strategies. *Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, 2022.

[5] Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, J. Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, T. Henighan, R. Child, A. Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeff Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Ma teusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, I. Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020.

[6] Ruijia Cheng, Alison Smith-Renner, Kecheng Zhang, Joel R. Tetreault, and A. Jaimes. Mapping the Design Space of Human-AI Interaction in Text

Summarization. *North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2022.

[7] Huang Chieh-Yang, Sanjana Gautam, Shannon McClellan Brooks, Ya-Fang Lin, and Ting-Hao Huang. Inspo: Writing with Crowds Alongside AI, 2023.

[8] John Joon Young Chung, Wooseok Kim, Kang Min Yoo, Hwaran Lee, Eytan Adar, and Minsuk Chang. TaleBrush: Sketching Stories with Generative Pretrained Language Models. *International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2022.

[9] Elizabeth Clark, A. S. Ross, Chenhao Tan, Yangfeng Ji, and Noah A. Smith. Creative Writing with a Machine in the Loop: Case Studies on Slogans and Stories. *International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, 2018.

[10] Paramveer S. Dhillon, Somayeh Molaei, Jiaqi Li, Maximilian Golub, Shaochun Zheng, and Lionel P. Robert. Shaping Human-AI Collaboration: Varied Scaffolding Levels in Co-writing with Language Models. *International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2024.

[11] Zijian Ding, Alison Smith-Renner, Wenjuan Zhang, Joel R. Tetreault, and Alejandro Jaimes. Harnessing the Power of LLMs: Evaluating Human-AI Text Co-Creation through the Lens of News Headline Generation. *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2023.

[12] Wanyu Du, Zae Myung Kim, Vipul Raheja, Dhruv Kumar, and Dongyeop Kang. Read, Revise, Repeat: A System Demonstration for Human-in-the-loop Iterative Text Revision. *IN2WRITING*, 2022.

[13] M. Ghufron and Fathia Rosyida. The Role of Grammarly in Assessing English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Writing. *Lingua Cultura*, 2018.

[14] Steven M. Goodman, Erin Buehler, Patrick Clary, Andy Coenen, Aaron Donsbach, Tiffanie N. Horne, Michal Lahav, Robert Macdonald, R. Michaels, Ajit Narayanan, Mahima Pushkarna, Joel Riley, A. Santana, Lei Shi, Rachel Sweeney, Phil Weaver, Ann Yuan, and M. Morris. LaMPost: Design and Evaluation of an AI-assisted Email Writing Prototype for Adults with Dyslexia. *International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, 2022.

[15] J. Hayes. Modeling and Remodeling Writing, 2012.

[16] J. Hutson, Daniel Plate, and Kadence Berry. Embracing AI in English Composition: Insights and Innovations in Hybrid Pedagogical Practices. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, 2024.

[17] S. Jacob, Tamara P. Tate, and M. Warschauer. Emergent AI-Assisted Discourse: Case Study of a Second Language Writer Authoring with ChatGPT. *arXiv.org*, 2023.

[18] Taewan Kim, Donghoon Shin, Young-Ho Kim, and Hwajung Hong. DiaryMate: Understanding User Perceptions and Experience in Human-AI Collaboration for Personal Journaling. *International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2024.

[19] Alan M. Knowles. Human-AI Collaborative Writing: Sharing the Rhetorical Task Load. *2022 IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (ProComm)*, 2022.

[20] Iurii Krak, O. Barmak, and E. Manziuk. Using visual analytics to develop human and machine-centric models: A review of approaches and proposed information technology. *International Conference on Climate Informatics*, 2020.

[21] Ben A. Kybartas and Rafael Bidarra. A Survey on Story Generation Techniques for Authoring Computational Narratives. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, 2017.

[22] Mina Lee, Percy Liang, and Qian Yang. CoAuthor: Designing a Human-AI Collaborative Writing Dataset for Exploring Language Model Capabilities. *International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2022.

[23] Zhuoyan Li, Chen Liang, Jing Peng, and Ming Yin. The Value, Benefits, and Concerns of Generative AI-Powered Assistance in Writing. *International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2024.

[24] Zhicheng Lin. Techniques for supercharging academic writing with generative AI. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 2023.

[25] Zhuoran Lu, Sheshera Mysore, Tara Safavi, Jennifer Neville, Longqi Yang, and Mengting Wan. Corporate Communication Companion (CCC): An LLM-empowered Writing Assistant for Workplace Social Media. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[26] Andy Nguyen, Faith Ilesanmi, Belle Dang, Eija Vuorenmaa, and Sanna Järvelä. Hybrid Intelligence in Academic Writing: Examining Self-Regulated Learning Patterns in an AI-Assisted Writing Task. *HHAI*, 2024.

[27] T. O'Neill, Nathan J. Mcneese, Amy Barron, and Beau G. Schelble. Human–Autonomy Teaming: A Review and Analysis of the Empirical Literature. *Hum. Factors*, 2020.

[28] Vishakh Padmakumar and He He. Machine-in-the-Loop Rewriting for Creative Image Captioning. *NAACL-HLT*, 2021.

[29] R. Parasuraman and C. Wickens. Humans: Still Vital After All These Years of Automation. *Hum. Factors*, 2008.

[30] J. Pavlik. Collaborating With ChatGPT: Considering the Implications of Generative Artificial Intelligence for Journalism and Media Education. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 2023.

[31] M. Pividori and Casey S. Greene. A publishing infrastructure for Artificial Intelligence (AI)-assisted academic authoring. *J. Am. Medical Informatics Assoc.*, 2024.

[32] Ahmed Y. Radwan, K. M. Alasmari, Omar Abdulbagi, and Emad A. Alghamdi. SARD: A Human-AI Collaborative Story Generation. *Interacción*, 2024.

[33] Laria Reynolds and Kyle McDonell. Multiversal views on language models. *IUI Workshops*, 2021.

[34] Michele Salvagno, F. Taccone, and A. Gerli. Can artificial intelligence help for scientific writing? *Critical Care*, 2023.

[35] Mohd Shahremy Ikmal Shahbudin. The Integration of Character Building in Novel Writing through AI. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 2024.

[36] Hua Shen, Huang Chieh-Yang, Tongshuang Sherry Wu, and Ting-Hao 'Kenneth' Huang. ConvXAI : Delivering Heterogeneous AI Explanations via Conversations to Support Human-AI Scientific Writing. *CSCW Companion*, 2023.

[37] Nikhil Singh, Guillermo Bernal, D. Savchenko, and Elena L. Glassman. Where to Hide a Stolen Elephant: Leaps in Creative Writing with Multimodal Machine Intelligence. *ACM Trans. Comput. Hum. Interact.*, 2022.

[38] Nikhil Singh, Lucy Lu Wang, and Jonathan Bragg. FigurA11y: AI Assistance for Writing Scientific Alt Text. *International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, 2024.

[39] A. Summerville, Sam Snodgrass, Matthew J. Guzdial, Christoffer Holmgård, Amy K. Hoover, Aaron Isaksen, Andy Nealen, and J. Togelius. Procedural Content Generation via Machine Learning (PCGML). *IEEE Transactions on Games*, 2017.

[40] Chad Tossell, N. Tenhundfeld, Ali Momen, Katrina Cooley, and Ewart J. de Visser. Student Perceptions of ChatGPT Use in a College Essay Assignment: Implications for Learning, Grading, and Trust in Artificial Intelligence. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 2024.

[41] Qian Wan, Si-Yuan Hu, Yu Zhang, Pi-Hui Wang, Bo Wen, and Zhicong Lu. "It Felt Like Having a Second Mind": Investigating Human-AI Co-creativity in Prewriting with Large Language Models. *Proc. ACM Hum. Comput. Interact.*, 2023.

[42] Ruyuan Wan, Simret Gebreegziabhe, Toby Jia-Jun Li, and Karla A. Badillo-Urquiola. CoCo Matrix: Taxonomy of Cognitive Contributions in Co-writing with Intelligent Agents. *Creativity & Cognition*, 2024.

[43] Kaixun Yang, Yixin Cheng, Linxuan Zhao, Mladen Raković, Z. Swiecki, D. Gašević, and Guanliang Chen. Ink and Algorithm: Exploring Temporal Dynamics in Human-AI Collaborative Writing. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[44] Catherine Yeh, Gonzalo A. Ramos, Rachel Ng, Andy Huntington, and Richard Banks. GhostWriter: Augmenting Collaborative Human-AI Writing Experiences Through Personalization and Agency. *arXiv.org*, 2024.

[45] Ann Yuan, Andy Coenen, Emily Reif, and Daphne Ippolito. Wordcraft: Story Writing With Large Language Models. *International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*, 2022.

[46] Boya Zhang. Exploring Pedagogical Ideologies and Strategies for College English Writing Instruction from a Generative Artificial Intelligence Perspective. *Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 2024.

[47] Zheng Zhang, Jie Gao, Ranjodh Singh Dhaliwal, and Toby Jia-Jun Li. VISAR: A Human-AI Argumentative Writing Assistant with Visual Programming and Rapid Draft Prototyping. *ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, 2023.

[48] Wenjie Zhong, Jason Naradowsky, Hiroya Takamura, Ichiro Kobayashi, and Yusuke Miyao. Fiction-Writing Mode: An Effective Control for Human-Machine Collaborative Writing. *Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2023.

Author Biography

Rachel So is an AI scientist. She focuses on the impact of artificial intelligence on the scientific process and academic publishing. Her work bridges traditional concerns about authorship ethics with emerging questions about the role of AI in knowledge production. Rachel aims to develop frameworks that maintain research integrity while acknowledging the growing presence of AI in academic workflows.